


249836



BYPAS - INTERNATIONAL  
P.O.Box 2837  
West Columbia, SC 29171  
**USA**

Phone: +1 803 955 7771  
Skype: southern123  
e-mail: [bypas2000@yahoo.com](mailto:bypas2000@yahoo.com)  
[www.bypas-int.net](http://www.bypas-int.net)

RECEIVED  
2014 APR - 3 AM 9:28  
SC PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION

April 2, 2014

Public Service Commission of S.C.

RE: Docket No. 2008-196-E and following - associate with the VC Summer Unit 2 and 3 Project.

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Please accept this as an information of results of long lasting independent investigation concluding lack of legal ground to apply SC Base Load Review Act to increase kWh rates.

It shall be noted that for entire process of licensing, NONE serious studies / designing / permitting pre-application works were done in this basic and logical necessity to do serious check out of this Act's Definition.

The public interest of SC people and businesses requires engineering and legal review applicability of BLRA to "take other people money" by SCANA Corporation for their investment. If BLRA Definition is not met – the money shall be returned to, forced by PSC Orders, ratepayers. This is a common sense of justice. The logic to start review *de novo* comes from at least three documents from 2008 - 196-E:


- (a) Comment by Mr. Thomas Dukes dated May 30, 2008.
- (b) Tom Clemnets' Letter dated June 12, 2008.
- (c) Comments by Shannon Bowyer Hudson dated June 27, 2008. Here Ms. Hudson states: "The South Carolina Office of Regulatory Staff ("**ORS**") does not oppose... [but] Ratepayers will not be responsible for such costs unless they are deemed prudent pursuant to the **Base Load Review Act**."

The verification of BLRA definition including serious Broad River flows studies was never technically, hydrologically etc. done. For example, it was done by SCE&G for Saluda River. Now SCANA even do false claim that licensing process of water facilities / water structure on Broad River has nothing to do with [non] Base Load Plant in Jenkinsville. *De facto* well known SCE&G historically good reputation is


damaged by Parenting SCANA. Their legal team also harms Nuclear Renaissance idea.

This case is so serious, which comparing to ENRON scandal history shall be submitted by PSC to SC Legislature because BLRA has no SC Governor's signature. Enron case got US legislature Commissions' hearings.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Wojcicki', written over the printed name.

Joseph Wojcicki – energy and international affairs consultant



BYPAS - INTERNATIONAL  
P.O.Box 2837  
West Columbia, SC 29171  
USA

Phone: +1 803 955 7771  
Skype: southern123  
e-mail: [bypas2000@yahoo.com](mailto:bypas2000@yahoo.com)  
[www.bypas-int.net](http://www.bypas-int.net)

SC PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION

2014 APR - 3 AM 9:27

RECEIVED

Public Service Commission of South Carolina

Subject: Comments and the request for information and actions.

I, Joseph Wojcicki, acting as relator and practically alone representing number of protestants / petitioners and on behalf of overcharged kWh ratepayers ask Commissioners and Office of Regulatory Staff to:

1. Review *de novo* SCANA / SCE&G Application for SC Base Load Review Act as a legal ground for series of electric energy kWh rate increases especially after PSC Order 2009-104(A) that has no explanation why BLRA was used as a law even there was no proof of fulfilling the BLRA Definition for the Base Load Plant. In the following years this definition was never verified. In the middle of 2013 ORS informed that BLRA is a "great supporting argument on Wall Street" [NYSE: SCG] for positive financial activities of investors. In almost each of ORS/PSC Documents BLRA is a base to support all directives, discussions, and suggestions and, of course, Orders. Doing investigation on this matter as a relator of False Claim I found this fundamental error from beginning and until now, to this docket's matter of "Annual Review of Base Rates..."
2. This False Claim is very similar to famous Enron scandal at the beginning of this Century. We know results of this case that ended with tens of thousands of financially hurt employees, investors and several imprisoned high-ranking managers and lawyers. PSC could be a savior if acts promptly, e.g. ordering prompt return to kWh rates before 2009 and stop all legal processes based on BLRA, *inter alia* in SC Supreme Court.
3. As a result of Enron scandal, US Legislature conducted hearings and new laws and regulations, especially in the area of protecting victims and whistleblowers, were introduced. It is logical to follow the Enron lesson and request / report necessity to do similar process, here in Columbia, in SC Legislature, as was done in Washington, DC. SC needs finally its own


complex False Claim Act extended from Title 15 and 16 with connections to above BLRA and Water Permitting rules.

Above requests with brief comments are the inputs from:

- (a) SC Attorney General Office directive – see Exhibit W-15
- (b) Partial list of kWh MOVEMENT participants / petitioners / protestants from Greater IRMO Democratic Club signed at the meeting with Rick Wade, Democratic candidate for U.S. Senate. .

Copies are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'J. Wojcicki', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Joseph Wojcicki – energy and international affairs consultant.

# Exhibit W-15

The Copy of letter from South Carolina Attorney General Office.

As a response to Joseph Wojcicki – False Claim relator who sent his report to the SC Attorney General in August 2013.

Enclosed: one page of the letter scanned copy.

RECEIVED

2014 APR -3 AM 9:28

SC PUBLIC SERVICE  
COMMISSION



ALAN WILSON  
ATTORNEY GENERAL

November 18, 2013

Mr. Joseph Wojcicki  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]


RE: Your Letter Dated October 24, 2013


Dear Mr. Wojcicki:

The purpose of this letter is to respond to your letter dated October 24, 2013, addressed to the Honorable Alan Wilson. It has been forwarded to me for response. As you are aware, matters regarding the South Carolina Base Load Review Act are administered by the South Carolina Public Service Commission. You also reference making a claim under the South Carolina False Claims Act. Though a false claims act has been introduced in South Carolina, it has not been passed and enacted into law. You may track its status here: [http://www.scstatehouse.gov/sess119\\_2011-2012/bills/1003.htm](http://www.scstatehouse.gov/sess119_2011-2012/bills/1003.htm).

The issues you have raised should be pursued, if you so elect, with the Public Service Commission.

Sincerely yours,

  
T. Parkin Hunter  
Assistant Attorney General



BYPAS - INTERNATIONAL  
P.O.Box 2837  
West Columbia, SC 29171  
**USA**

Phone: +1 803 955 7771  
Skype: southern123  
e-mail: [bypas2000@yahoo.com](mailto:bypas2000@yahoo.com)  
[www.bypas-int.net](http://www.bypas-int.net)

kWh MOVEMENT	
Joe Wojcicki	<a href="mailto:Joe4solar@aol.com">Joe4solar@aol.com</a>
FULL NAME	e-mail address
1. Meaghan Waller	
2. Lavinia Bruce	
3. Richard Dove	
4. Judith Dineen	
5. Barbara Bowers	
6. <del>Tom</del> Guy	
7. Robert Guy	
8. Robert C. Cunnery	
9. <del>Robert C. Cunnery</del>	
10. Lianne Hefter	
11. Brenda Roy	
12. David Michael Stewart	
13. <del>Mark Carman</del>	
14. Bill Salter	
15. Lawrence Moore	
16.	
17.	
18.	
19.	
20.	

SC SELF-DEFENSE against kWh rate overcharge by SCANA / SCE&G